

From Friday, the 18th of October, NIAID declares the Mpox vaccine safe for teens, opening the door for the vaccine's approval for kids as young as 12. And finally, the story of that leads us into today's interview. It's from Monday, October the 21st. Pfizer's crimes against humanity and legacy. Legacy media's failure to report on those crimes. Those were our top recent headlines from the defender. And joining us now here in the defender in depth is Naomi Wolf. She's the founder and CEO of the Daily Cloud. She's the author of a new book, The Pfizer Papers Pfizer's Crimes Against Humanity. Naomi, good morning. Welcome to the defender in depth.

Michael, thank you so much for having me. And I also just want to thank the defender and CHD for, um, the attention that you've given this book's launch and for all the work that you do.

Well, we're glad to have you on, Naomi, and let's jump right into things. Uh, this is a very large book. I haven't had the opportunity to see all of it yet, but we did run this report reviewing the book last week in The Defender. There's a lot of content in there, uh, that our defender story really summarizes quite well. And one of the main takeaways, main findings of this book is that Pfizer was aware of the inadequacies of its Covid vaccine had during the vaccine trials, and they were also aware of many serious adverse effects. And not only did Pfizer know this, but also the FDA as well. But despite knowing this, the FDA promoted the vaccines anyway. So, Naomi, take us through the data that you found that confirmed this, that confirmed that Pfizer and the FDA knew about these dangers.

Sure. Well, this, um, tranche of documents is a journalist's dream. Um, also kind of a journalist's nightmare in some ways. And I'll get to that in a minute. Um, it's a journalist's dream, because these are 450,000 documents released under court order that were in the custody of the FDA, and they a court ruled that they had to be released due to a successful lawsuit against the FDA by attorney Aaron Siri, with the support of ICANN, the advocacy group. And, um, I was concerned and Steve Bannon, who sort of is the godfather of this project, uh, who suggested it. We were both concerned as journalists because these, these, um, documents were so voluminous and so technical in language that they were very difficult for any journalist to understand and to explain. So we were worried they'd be lost to history. Um, but we put out a call for experts to volunteer to read through them and write reports. And amazingly, 3250 doctors and scientists

responded. And under the leadership of my colleague Amy Kelly, they have produced these 109 earth shattering reports. And in the journalist's dream part is that these are primary source documents. They're Pfizer's own material. The nightmare is that the luminousness and the technicality. But these reports explain successfully to people with who are not scientists, who are just lay readers. I'm not a doctor. I'm not a scientist. Um, what what's in these reports and in these documents and what what Pfizer had internally documented is the greatest crime against humanity in recorded history.

So you mentioned in your introduction that the FDA knew and Pfizer knew. I think you were very polite about the way you put it. Um, they certainly knew that the vaccine didn't work. They knew within a month after the rollout in November 2020 that the vaccine didn't work to stop Covid. You you just had a story that the CDC is recommending a booster for children. Will they all know that the vaccine didn't work to stop Covid, that that's useless to protect anyone from Covid? In fact, the internal language that Pfizer uses is vaccine failure and failure of efficacy. And, um, you you saw the CDC and the FDA claiming that the vaccines, you know, prevented serious injury and death. Well, the only way they were able to make that claim was to hide the bodies of eight vaccinated dead people who had died with Covid and to illegally delay reporting those deaths to the CDC. Only that way could they make the math Work to claim that you were better off taking the injection in terms of severe illness or death. If they had added in those dead bodies, you'd get what we now see, which is you're more likely to be hospitalized or die with Covid if you have the vaccine.

Um, they knew by May of 2021 that the vaccines injured children's hearts and miners hearts, and there was a cover up at the level of the white House, 15 white House staffers Doctor Fauci, Doctor Walensky, Doctor Murthy, the the head of HHS, to create a script to lie about it. And that script is fully redacted. Um, they knew that there were 1223 deaths within three months. And side effects. There were 43,000 people with serious adverse events and over 130,000 serious adverse events in total. In just these three months. You were told that the injection, you know, may cause fatigue or fever or pain at the injection site, but in fact, what Pfizer knew is that their internal documents showed tens of thousands of catastrophic adverse events like horrific blood clots, lung clots, leg clots, clotting, diseases of all kinds, pericarditis, myocarditis, heart damage of all kinds, neurological events at massive scale, epilepsies, dementias, Bell's palsy, Guillain-Barre strokes, um, turbo cancers, uh, horrific skin disorders, eye problems,

people going blind, um, liver damage, kidney damage. Uh, and it really interestingly, the number one side effect is myalgia, which is muscle pain. So many people just feel bad now and don't know why. And their doctors don't know why. Pfizer knows why. Number two side effect is joint pain. So many people are arthritic now or, um, need knee replacements, shoulder replacements, healthy young people having joint issues.

Um, Pfizer knew that the injections are inflammatory and and joint pain is an inflammatory condition. The third most common side effect, as I mentioned, is Covid. Um, but Pfizer knew very early on. I mean, these these documents cover the first three months of the post-marketing meaning in our bodies rollout. And they knew that they were killing and injuring people at massive scale in conditions of massive severity. They knew that they had to hire 2400 full time staffers just to read through the adverse events reports they were getting within the first few months. Um, but they knew which is very important. You know, that CDC said that the injection materials stay at your You're at the injection site in your deltoid, and that's not true. Pfizer knew that they bio distribute the lipid nanoparticles and the spike protein in the polyethylene glycol, which is a petroleum byproduct to every every part of your body, every organ in your body accumulating if you're a woman in the ovaries, also traversing the blood brain barrier, accumulating in the brain, the liver, the spleen, the adrenals, the lymphatic system, um, and, you know, as Doctor Chandler, one of our experts puts it, lipid nanoparticles are designed to traverse every membrane in the human body. And he says it's like they act like a shotgun blast to the body.

So you would think that the Pfizer papers, internal papers would be centered on, um, breathing and respiratory conditions because Covid is a respiratory disease in many ways, but there's very little about that. It's not what they were looking at. What the centerpiece of the Pfizer papers is, has to do with reproduction, human reproduction, and it has to do with destroying human reproduction in many ways. So I had to conclude from the intense documentation of the disruption of human reproduction in the Pfizer documents that, as they say in tech, it's not a bug, it's a feature. Pfizer knew that, that the membranes, you know, don't just block the ovaries causing catastrophic menstrual damage. And in fact, I was de-platformed in June of 2021 for noting that women were reporting menstrual disruption upon receiving the mRNA injection. It turns out my deplatforming came about at the behest of the white House, um, for that accurate tweet. But they keep charts and the Pfizer documents of the menstrual disruption they're

causing. There's a chart that has like 15,000 women bleeding every day. 10,000 women, two periods a month, 7500 women. No periods at all, meaning no children, not fertile. Um, this many women hemorrhaging, this many women passing tissue. You know, ten year old girls bleeding upon being injected, 85 year old women bleeding upon being injected. And they just document this, you know, horrific damage to women's menstrual cycles.

There's a section of the Pfizer documents that has concludes that 62% of the adverse events are in women and that of those. And this is Pfizer's conclusion, 16% are, quote, reproductive disorders, end quote, compared to 0.2% for men. So they knew they were damaging women reproductively. They knew that they told women not to get pregnant in the trials, but some did anyway. 270 women got pregnant. Pfizer lost the records of 234 of those women illegally. The 36 women whose records survive, over 80% of them lost their babies. There's a document called the Pregnancy and Lactation Report, which is eight pages long. It's the most appalling eight pages you can imagine. And it summarizes what they'd learned about pregnancy and lactation. And there are two babies in that document who died in utero. And Pfizer concluded that their deaths were due to, quote, maternal exposure to the vaccine, end quote. They also have a chart in there that shows babies getting very sick from nursing vaccinated mothers. This many babies have fever. This many babies are convulsing. This many babies have swelling of the tissues edema. This many babies are vomiting. And they They know they document that. That's because they're nursing from vaccinated moms, because the polyethylene glycol gets into breast milk. And breastfeeding has gone from 34% of new moms and babies to 14% since 2021.

But Pfizer still doesn't want vaccinated women not to breastfeed. But it's not safe for their babies. They just document the babies getting sick. Pfizer knew that the lipid nanoparticles, as I kind of repeat always because the centerpiece of the horror right, traverse every membrane in the human body so they traverse the placenta and independent midwives and Doctor James Thorpe, the fetal medicine specialist, have all independently shown me. And as a journalist, I say I stress independently because when multiple people show you the same thing, it's confirmation. So they're they're all describing, um, nettings of Calcifications in vaccinated women's placentas that prevent enough nutrients and oxygen from getting to the baby, so babies are being delivered prematurely. The placentas can't grow. I've seen I've been sent photographs of these

placentas. They're they're flat. They're not like a comfortable home for baby, like a normal placenta. And they're discolored. And the babies, even though they're being delivered, even if they're delivered, there's now a 40% rise in fetal deaths. I'm sorry. Maternal deaths in childbirth. Because the placentas are falling apart and women are hemorrhaging or getting infected during childbirth. So we're back to a pre-modern set of dangers for giving birth. Um, the lipid nanoparticles go into the baby. They go. They traverse the membrane around the testes of the baby boy in utero, and they're degrading the Sertoli cells and Leydig cells of baby boys in utero.

And these are the, um, the hormones that regulate or the cells that regulate the hormones that turn boys into men at adolescence. So they're responsible for, like, deep voices, broad shoulders, facial hair, things we think of as masculine in adult masculine, including the ability to father children. So we don't know if these baby boys in utero of vaccinated moms are going to grow up to be normal men at all, or if they're going to grow up to be fertile at all. We know that, um, the the journal *Andrology* separately confirmed that the lipid nanoparticles, the injection damages the motility and mobility of sperm. There's a section of Pfizer documents, again, one of the most disturbing sections in which Pfizer warns men in the trials not to have intercourse. Vaccinated men not to have intercourse with childbearing age women, and, if they do, to use two reliable forms of contraception and um. Pfizer defines exposure to the vaccine as including skin contact and inhalation. So we don't know to this day why Pfizer was concerned about and trying to prevent vaccinated men from having unprotected sex with childbearing age women. But from the context, it seems as if Pfizer was concerned about some sort of exposure or damage or danger to women, or the fetus or zygote from the sperm of vaccinated men. To this day, they're not saying why.

Like, that's one of the things they should be answering in a docket or a witness stand. Those are some of the you know, those are some of the main headlines. I mean, there are many, many, many more. But you just I think the thing that there are so many things that are disturbing about this. Right. But as again, as a reporter, not as a scientist, one of the most disturbing things is this is not like an anomaly. It's not a, you know, some secret lab going rogue. This is not like just greed or carelessness. All of these documents, many of them say FDA confidential at the bottom there in the custody of the FDA. And they were in the custody of the CDC. Doctor Walensky, Doctor Fauci, the white House, not all of them, perhaps, but certainly sections of them. For instance, the

pregnancy and lactation report went on April 21st, 2021, to Doctor Walensky. In three days later, she gave a white House press conference telling she had this document saying, this is going to kill your baby. It's going to poison your breast milk. She gave a press conference telling the women of America, there is no bad time to have a Covid injection before you get pregnant, during your pregnancy, or after your baby is born. Three days after we ran the story about that, she resigned.

Naomi, thank you for this compelling and this comprehensive information. And I know even all of this is just the tip of the iceberg. And as you said a moment ago, Pfizer was very concerned with, you know, sort of concealing a lot of this data. You mentioned earlier that they concealed actual dead people in order to change their efficacy figures. And one of the things that I know, just from having taken in the past to look at these documents, not as comprehensively as you and your team has, but I remember seeing one serious adverse event report after another. And almost invariably there were very few Exceptions. Pfizer would say that there was no relation to the vaccine. You know, people just randomly had heart attacks and strokes when they had no prior history of any sort of heart disease. Um, without there being any relation to the to to the jab, even though they had just gotten it one or 2 or 3 days ago. Uh, just report after report like this. And then something else I'm familiar with, having spoken with some scientists, is that many times, even something like joint pain, which you said was one of the most commonly reported side effects, uh, is really a catchall for potentially more serious, uh, you know, more, more serious conditions that then don't end up actually getting, uh, recorded. But one thing I wanted Naomi for you to confirm for us, these are the documents that Pfizer themselves tried to. And also the the government tried to actually hide, uh, for a very long time. Right? Correct. Not not just months or years, but decades.

Oh, yeah. They want us all to be dead before they see the light of day. Yes. The FDA asked the court to keep these documents hidden for 75 years, and notably, a week after our book was published, the FDA went back to that same court and asked for them to stop releasing the documents.

Now, Naomi, I wanted to also ask you, because you have a background in mainstream media. You already mentioned being deplatformed from social media. Tell us a little bit about the censorship that we're seeing from the major media outlets. The New York Times and The Washington Post and all those sorts of outlets that are keeping silent

even now, after four plus years, um, not reporting about the Pfizer documents, not, you know, saying much about your book at all. Uh, you've been inside the mainstream media. You know, how do these people think? What are they? You know, you know, how do they operate? And, you know, how does the censorship apparatus Operate.

Yeah, that's such an important question, because none of this could have been accomplished by Pfizer and the FDA and the white House and the CDC if if the legacy media had done its job. I mean, I'll never forget, I used to tweet back and forth with a poor woman who's the New York Times Covid reporter. And when the vaccine came out, she was cheerleading for pregnant women to get it and saying, oh, great, it's safe and effective for pregnant women. And I tweeted back, oh, korva, what evidence do you have? There is no data showing that. Where are the studies? What are you talking about? And she blocked me. There were no studies. It wasn't safe and effective. Nothing. To this day has proven that it's safe and effective for pregnant women. It's very dangerous for pregnant women. Um, so why would she do that? Right? New York Times reporter. Why wouldn't she dig into that story? It's just so typical of what happened in 2020. The end of 2020 into 2021, culminating in 2022, probably lingering into 2023 and kind of into the present, which is vast sums, vast millions, if not over a billion, was funneled to legacy media from first from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation to overcome vaccine hesitancy. And you know what that means? It means you don't run a story like how if you take money like that, it sounds so benign, but you literally can't run this story that we're talking about because this, this, these documents do not overcome vaccine hesitancy.

They create vaccine hesitancy. Um, it's so it's a very nefarious form of a kind of concealed bribery masquerading as public interest journalism support. Um, then when that became too overt. And by the way, millions like BBC, as I recall, got 55 million. The Guardian got millions and PR got millions, right? And news outlets run on a very thin margin. So that's big. Right. If Bill gates gives you a multi-million dollar check, you're not going to want to cross him. And then subsequently when that became, I think, too transparent in the CARES act, they folded into it direct funding. And this is going on to this day. You just in your intro. I love the defender you just mentioned. To this day, the CDC is trying to send money to influencers in the African-American community and communities of color. And that's just plain bribery, right? And I don't even understand

how it's legal in terms of government contracting. You can't just bribe, you know, people, citizens, you can't do it.

But they're literally. The money flowed from the CARES act to churches and synagogues and news outlets and cultural centers and museums and, you know, tiny little Korean dance troupes in the South Bronx. I mean, everyone possible got Cares act money to get people vaccinated. And that's why you had, you know, small businesses took the money, but media took the money. And since they took the money, they're complicit in this massive crime. And I think probably to this day, for legal reasons, they don't want to cover this because that would open them up to lawsuits, because they engaged in fraud. They engaged in massive fraud. They assisted a massive, massive fraud that that killed their readers and sterilized and disabled their readers. Um, that's my best understanding of the flow of money. And lastly, Doctor Thorpe and his wife Maggie, who's a lawyer, have documented millions flowing to professional organizations like physicians groups. And the contracts are written so that they get to keep the money as long as the doctors don't give their patients real, informed consent. But if the doctors in their organizations tell their patients what the dangers are, they have to give back the money. Media influencers, stakeholders, you know, elite capture to get on board with this murderous injection.

You covered so much there, Naomi. And, you know, we could just add to that very quickly. Big pharma advertising. You think they're the only two countries that allow it? Uh, out of all the countries in the world, there's a CARES act protocols that funded all sorts of, you know, horrible, uh, treatments that were administered in hospitals to Covid patients. Uh, you know, you mentioned these media conglomerates. They even own a lot of medical and scientific journals. We wrote a few months ago and then did an interview here on this program about companies like Springer, which own major media outlets in Europe and the US. But they also own some of the biggest scientific journals. But I wanted to move on. We don't have that much time left. I wanted to turn to another issue, which has to do a little bit with your background. You know, you're well known. In the past, you were one of the more prominent, uh, feminist writers and scholars, from what I understand, and what we've been seeing all throughout the years of Covid and the pandemic, is a lot of this sort of pro-vaccine influencer rhetoric plays on language that these sorts of movements used to utilize equity, equality. And those two are not the same thing.

But this sort of like, you know, feel good language. And then a lot of writers have sort of come from this space, like Naomi Klein, for instance, have actually gone and attacked you. And I think she did that in one of her books last year. So, you know, where did all of these movements kind of like turn and, you know, how do they sort of weaponize this messaging that sounds really good at face value, but actually means something very different this entire space. People that come from the political left, people that have come from the feminist movement, the civil rights movement, civil rights organizations, left leaning organizations. You used to be a part of that space. And, you know, just like you used to be a part of the mainstream media. How do they weaponize their, you know, their organizations, the language that they use, like, you know, they talk about equity and they talk about equality. They talk about that even in the context of, you know, getting people Covid vaccines. So how do they weaponize all those things to turn them from something that sounds really nice and may once have actually meant something really nice to something that's actually quite dangerous?

It turns out. I think AI has a lot to do with the the mechanism now. In other words, when I got de-platformed, you know, you're rightly mentioning that I was well known as a feminist writer for decades. What was my beat? Largely, a lot of my books were about women's sexual and reproductive health. So for me to notice that women were reporting menstrual dysregulation is totally what I'd been doing for the kind of journalism I'd been doing for 45 years and been beloved for. So it was like I didn't change, right? The the machinery around me had changed. And when I mention I, it's because literally overnight my bio around the world changed from, you know, all these honors and awards and my doctorate and my eight bestsellers and, you know, advising to presidential campaigns, to crazy conspiracy theorists, to anti-vaxxer. And to this day, that's what Google serves up, right? And at that time, I didn't I didn't know about AI, so I didn't understand how that was even possible. But I guess what I'm trying to say is people like RFK Jr, organizations like KD, the dissident doctors, you know, anyone who doesn't go along with the manufactured consensus, which is now, by the way, replicated by AI, right? The marginalizing, silencing and debunking of them debunking, undermining of their reputations is also amplified by AI.

So all of this manufacturing of reputations going on at a scale and sophistication that human editors and publishers and writers can't manage. So that's a big change, right? It

means that someone can be kind of switched off in the culture, and no matter what they do, you know, no matter how credible they are. I mean, again, RFK Jr is such a great example. He's breaking through it, you know, through aligning with another really important dissident and having millions of people supporting him. But it's a tough fight every day because the machine is churning out nonsense, right? And doing it beyond, you know, beyond human speed. Um, so that happened. Then there's again, financial incentives. Um, people visit. I think that my life actually is kind of a what is it, a proof of concept? Um, I think that I was sort of so visibly punished as a journalist for stepping outside the consensus that it scared a lot of other journalists, you know. And then the, the journalists who have come forward, um, they get exiled or, or or they have lawfare to deal with. Um, I mean, Steve Bannon is in prison right now. You know, he's not there, ostensibly because he was the kind of godfather of this book, but I'm sure it didn't help.

Um, they, you know, Rudy Giuliani, they're going after him for \$150 million for defamation. Right. There are crimes of speech now, and people journalists are being, um, you know, fired, silenced, marginalized. I mean, having said all of that sort like doctors, of course, but so few of them fought back. I mean, there's really no excuse. They were such, you know, they were such. Um. I have an essay titled I'm Not brave. You're just a p blank blank, blank blank. Why? Which I won't say on the air, but they were so cowardly. And to this day, they're cowardly. But they know what will happen if they, you know, try to bring their editors a story about any of this. They'll lose their jobs, they'll be marginalized, they'll their stories will get spiked. The editor everyone's in a state of fear and the legacy media. And that's why no one's reading the legacy media, right? They're they're viewership is collapsing, and people are flocking to the defender and to daily cloud and to war room. Um, but lastly, Miss Klein, you know, that was a shock. Why would a prominent intellectual who the author of The Shock Doctrine, if anyone, should be analyzing Covid and the lockdowns and the forced injections, it should be the author of the Shock Doctrine, because this is such a perfect illustration of her core thesis in that book that, you know, big corporations terrify people to make money or to drive social change or economic change.

Excuse me. She spent two years writing a book. I haven't read it, but the bits that I have seen that got pulled out are are thoroughly imaginary. And I mean, for instance, I've never met her. I think there was a scene in there in which, you know, we have an encounter. I've literally never met this woman, and she, um, she spent two years writing

this book. It turns out that her husband is a spokesperson for Big Pharma in Canada. He was tasked with being the face of a campaign to get the government to pay for all pharmaceuticals, which would be one gigantic check to pharma as opposed to, you know, having to compete in the marketplace. And her father in law is on the board of a vaccine nonprofit that distributes vaccines. And they got \$10 million from Bill gates to distribute vaccines. So I can't say that's the only reason she wrote it. But I wasn't surprised to find that money trail because it doesn't really make sense otherwise, that a prominent intellectual would spend two years making things up about someone who doesn't resemble her at all.

Naomi, thanks for that information. It's all very compelling. We're almost out of time, but I'm glad I wanted to mention this. I'm glad you mentioned I. I'm glad you mentioned Bill gates once again. Um, OpenAI, uh, the CEO, Sam Altman, spoke at the World Economic Forum in Davos back in January. He said that they're looking to program. I like ChatGPT to only return information from certain preferred sources. So we all understand what that means. And of course, Altman and I are they have a very close partnership with The New York Times. The New York Times actually reported that last week. But since we always like to end our program on a high note, we've seen, for instance, a defender wrote about this a few days ago, uh, the the lawsuit in the Netherlands against not just gates, that's the name that made the headlines, but also Alfred Bourla, CEO of Pfizer. And you talk about, I believe in your book that there's reason to have hope, hope for the future, hope that things can change. So to wrap up this interview, Naomi, share with us this message of hope that your book also conveys.

Thank you. Well, I agree with you. We have to end on a note of hope. It's too it's too horrible. Otherwise. Um, well, I've got to again credit KD and the defender. You guys are so good at showing the way. So I would you know, just restate what you all have urged many times. We've got to get rid of the Prep act. We've got to get rid of the Childhood Vaccination Schedule Act. You know the title better than mine, the one that gives impunity to pharmaceutical companies. We have to end. As you rightly noted, direct to consumer marketing by pharma and ban it the way we ban direct to consumer marketing for cigarettes on TV and the way they ban it in Europe. That's not censorship. That's just protecting people from, um, from propaganda. We have to end this myth. I think it's the Smith-mundt act, isn't it? The the one that allows for propaganda, um, the 2012 act that allows the government to lie to us. I mean, everything changed when

when that was rolled out. Thank you. And I guess I should end by saying I kind of as as chilling as the Pfizer papers is, and it's also a life saving book. I want to say it really points you in the direction and your loved ones of how to how to heal, because you'll understand better what happened to you. Um, if you're vaccinated. But I feel like this whole last few years, even this example is quite hopeful because they tried to sterilize us.

They tried to disable us. They tried to kill us. Right. I, you know, found elsewhere that these injections were made in a partnership with the Chinese Communist Party. Our adversaries, um, and they, you know, they didn't succeed. Uh, people are a lot of people are dead. A lot of people are injured. A lot of people are worried. But the great project they had of culling the population or culling the West hasn't succeeded yet. You know, people woke up in time, and it's thanks to people like you and your colleagues. People like, you know, my colleagues, um, and and all those dissident doctors who wouldn't be silent, who paid such to this day, pay such a heavy price for being true to their profession. So I guess what I'm saying is that should inspire us. You know, the attacks are not over. They're going to come in different ways, whether it's FEMA not helping people buried in rubble in North Carolina to, you know, attacks on our grid, attacks on our food supply, all the things you all document so well, these globalists are not going to stop. But when we all resist, even if we think we're not very powerful, it turns out that we are very powerful. And they, they they cannot, um, they cannot overcome us. When you know enough of us say no. And so thank you for your leadership and and the defenders in helping us see.